

MCHB-IP-RDE

2 4 OCT 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR Office of the Command Surgeon (LTC (b) (6) (1, U.S. Central Command, 7115 South Boundary Boulevard, MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33621-5101

SUBJECT: Deployment Occupational and Environmental Health Surveillance Sample Report, Soil, Rushmore, Afghanistan, 14 July 2012, U_AFG_RUSHMORE_IP_SQA_20120714

1. The enclosed report details the assessment of three soil samples collected by 701st Brigade Support Battalion, 4th Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 1st Infantry Division personnel, Rushmore, Afghanistan, 14 July 2012.

2. None of the chemicals detected in the samples were identified as potential hazards.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

Encl



CF: (w/encl) 701st BSB (Environmental Science Engineering Officer/1LT (b) (6) ARCENT (Force Health Protection Officer/CPT (b) (6) CSTC-A (Force Health Protection Officer/Maj (b) (6) ARCENT (Force Health Protection Officer/MAJ (b) (6) USAFSAM (Chief, Special Projects/Maj (b) (6) USFOR-A (Force Health Protection Officer/MAJ (b) (6)



U.S. ARMY PUBLIC HEALTH COMMAND

5158 Blackhawk Road, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5403

Deployment Occupational and Environmental Health Surveillance Sample Report, U_AFG_RUSHMORE_IP_SQA_20120714 Health Risk Management Portfolio

Soil, Rushmore, Afghanistan

Prepared by (b) (6) Deployment Environmental Surveillance Program

Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies only; protection of privileged information evaluating another command; October 2012. Requests for this document must be referred to Office of the Command Surgeon, U.S. Central Command, 7115 South Boundary Boulevard, MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33621-5101.

Preventive Medicine Surveys: 40-5f1

DESTRUCTION NOTICE - Destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Use of trademark name(s) does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Army but is intended only to assist in the identification of a specific product.

Deployment Occupational and Environmental Health Surveillance Sample Report Soil Rushmore, Afghanistan 14 July 2012 U_AFG_RUSHMORE_IP_SQA_20120714

1 References

See Appendix A for a list of references.

2 Purpose

This report provides the U.S. Army Public Health Command (USAPHC), Army Institute of Public Health (AIPH) assessment of the laboratory analytical results and exposure information associated with the samples collected by 701st Brigade Support Battalion, 4th Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 1st Infantry Division personnel on 14 July 2012 at Rushmore, Afghanistan according to the U.S. Department of Defense deployment occupational and environmental health (DOEH) surveillance requirements. The assessment serves several purposes. It identifies DOEH hazards that may be related to acute health effects that could occur in personnel during their deployment. It provides an official record of observed exposure conditions for use in future site evaluations. It identifies whether or not there is a potential for chronic health concerns which may require additional characterization. Finally, this report includes preventive steps to reduce or eliminate occupational and environmental exposures, and surveillance and/or sampling recommendations, as necessary.

3 Scope

The assessment of sample results and exposure information in this report follows the process published in the USAPHC Technical Guide (TG) 230 "Environmental Health Risk Assessment and Chemical Exposure Guidelines for Deployed Military Personnel, June 2010 Revision." The assessment is based on limited data representing a specific time period and assesses short-term exposure risks only. This report, therefore, cannot be used alone to estimate the risk of chronic health effects from exposures. In addition, this assessment does not address all DOEH hazards to which U.S. personnel may be exposed.

4 Laboratory Analysis

Deployment soil samples received at the USAPHC, AIPH laboratory are analyzed for a standard set of parameters that includes metals, pesticides/polychlorinated biphenyls, herbicides, semivolatile organic compounds, inorganic chemicals, radionuclides, and various physical characteristics. The complete analytical sample results can be viewed in the Defense Occupational and Environmental Health Readiness System (DOEHRS). Log into the DOEHRS and search for the samples using the DOEHRS sample identification numbers (IDs) provided in Table 1 below.

DOEHRS Sample ID	Sample ID Reported on Field Data Sheet	Sample Site	Date and Time Sample Collected	Collection Type
00007DB3	AFG_RUSHMORE_20120714_01S	Burn Barrel Area	2012/07/14 0500	Composite
00007DB7	AFG_RUSHMORE_20120714_03S	Entry Control Point	2012/07/14 0530	Composite
00007DB5	AFG_RUSHMORE_20120714_02S	Fuel Point	2012/07/14 0515	Composite

Table 1. Sample Identification Information

5 Exposure Setting

Table 2 contains information about the sampling location, environmental conditions, and associated potential population exposure. The information was provided on the field data sheets and/or exposure assessment worksheets submitted with the samples unless otherwise noted. Correction and clarification of exposure assumptions by the sampling unit is encouraged.

Table 2. Exposure Information

Questions About Exposure	Information Provided and Assumptions		
Why was this sample/sample set collected?	Routine sampling of soil.		
What population is exposed and how?	Personnel use the burn barrels to destroy documents. All personnel pass through the entry control point. Personnel fuel vehicles and generators at the fuel point.		
What is the timeframe under consideration?	Deployment duration unless subsequent activities change or contamination occurs.		
Where was the sample/sample set collected?	Three soil samples from the burn barrel, entry control point, and fuel point.		
What is known about location, activity, setting and potential sources of contamination that may affect exposure?	Soldiers are exposed to smoke from the burn barrels. The areas that do not have gravel paths have fine dirt and rocky soil.		

6 Prescreen

Table 3 shows whether parameters are identified as potential hazards because their peak single sample concentrations are greater than their most health-protective screening level USAPHC TG 230 military exposure guidelines (MEGs). Potential hazards are further assessed to determine if they are acute hazards. Parameters analyzed but not shown in Table 3 are not considered hazards. The prescreening is conducted as described in USAPHC TG 230, section 3.4.3. The sample results were compared to MEGs on 22 August 2012.

Parameter	Detections/Samples	Peak Single Sample Concentration (mg/kg)	1-year Negligible MEG (mg/kg)	Result
Barium	3/3	72	14801	Exclude as potential hazard
Chromium	3/3	54	297840	Exclude as potential hazard
Mercury	3/3	0.063	978080	Exclude as potential hazard
Nickel	3/3	69	4242.4	Exclude as potential hazard
Strontium	3/3	140	424240	Exclude as potential hazard

Table 3. Results of Prescreen

Legend: mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

7 Conclusion

None of the chemicals detected in the samples were identified as potential hazards because the concentrations were not greater than USAPHC TG 230 MEGs.

8 Limitations

8.1 Field Data Quality

Field data provided with the samples were adequate.

8.2 Sample Receipt at USAPHC Laboratory

The samples were received at the USAPHC at a temperature of 27 July 2012 degrees Celsius. The samples were packaged correctly.

8.3 Laboratory Data Quality

No laboratory data quality issues associated with this sample set were identified.

8.4 Risk Assessment


Military exposure guidelines have not been developed for several chemicals detected in the samples primarily due to a lack of accepted health information data for these chemicals. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or other health organizations have not published nor recommended health-impacting exposure thresholds.

9 Recommendations

Maintain communication with USAPHC, AIPH points of contact (POCs) and continue standard surveillance of soil exposures in accordance with defined Occupational and Environmental Health Site Assessment Exposure Pathways and sampling plans for your location.

If an OEHSA and/or specific sampling plans have not yet been completed for Rushmore, Afghanistan, submit a sample collected from this area for laboratory analysis. Sample again if current exposure scenario changes.

10 Points of Contact



Approved by:	
(b) (6)	

Acting Program Manager Deployment Environmental Surveillance

Appendix A

References

Department of Defense. 2004. Department of Defense Directive 6490.02E, Comprehensive Health Surveillance. http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/649002Ep.pdf

- Department of Defense. 2006. Department of Defense Instruction 6490.03, *Deployment Health*. http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/649003p.pdf
- Department of the Army. 2006. Field Manual 5-19, Composite Risk Management. https://rdl.train.army.mil/soldierPortal/atia/adlsc/view/public/23137-1/FM/5-19/TOC.HTM
- U.S. Army Public Health Command (Provisional). 2010. Technical Guide 230, *Chemical Exposure Guidelines for Deployed Military Personnel*. http://phc.amedd.army.mil/PHC%20Resource%20Library/TG230.pdf